P.R. No. 2747/06
Presented by Shri Sureshwar Tandon
dated 31/05/06

2747/06
Presented by Shri Ajay Shrivastava
dated 30/5/06

(1)

C.A. for

(10)

BEFORE HON'BLE COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 2706 **/2006** SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER

Nitin Ramekar S/o Shri Dataraya Ramekar aged about 34 years R/o Plot No.277 Samta Colony, Raipur (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Genral Administration Department, Raipur.
2. C.G. Board of Secondary Education Raipur, through its secetary, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK BILASPUR
30/5/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 CONSTITION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2706/ **सन् 2006**

(62)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14-6-2006 Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. G.K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondent No.1. Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Mr. J.K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.2. Heard. The case of the petitioner is that he appeared as OBC candidate in the examination conducted by respondent No.2 Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education, Raipur, on behalf of the General Administration Department of the State of Chhattisgarh for the post of Steno-Typist & AG-III. In the Steno test, maximum marks obtained by the selected candidates of OBC is 260, whereas minimum marks of selected candidates was 200. For the post of AG-III, maximum marks of selected candidates was 254, whereas minimum marks of selected candidates was 200. The petitioner secured 248, even then he was not called for the skill test by respondent No.1. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 Mr. H.B. Agrawal submitted that the contention of the petitioner is correct and in return filed on behalf of respondent No.2 also it has been admitted that the contention of the petitioner is correct. Accordingly, respondent No.1 has already been intimated about the correct number of marks secured by the petitioner in the examination. Mr. Beriwal, learned Deputy Advocate General appearing on behalf of the State/respondent No.1 submitted that they are not disputing the claim of the petitioner. As incorrect number of marks were communicated to them, therefore, the petitioner was not called for the skill test. But now, correct marks obtained by the petitioner has been communicated, they are ready to call him for the skill test.	

XI-HC-78

(63)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P.2706/ **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel for the respondents, the petitioner's petition is allowed and respondent No.1 is directed to call the petitioner for skill test for selection to the post of Steno-Typist & AG-III and accordingly, further orders be passed. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.2047/2006 stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	